

Functional properties of type A gelatin from jellyfish (Lobonema smithii)

¹Rodsuwan, U., ^{1*}Thumthanaruk, B., ²Kerdchoechuen, O. and ²Laohakunjit, N.

¹Department of Agro-Industrial, Food and Environmental Technology, Faculty of Applied Science, King Mongkut's University of Technology North Bangkok, 1518 Pracharat 1 Road, Wongsawang, Bangsue, Bangkok, 10800, Thailand ²School of Bioprocurses and Technology, King Manghut's University of Technology, Thanhuri

²School of Bioresources and Technology, King Mongkut's University of Technology, Thonburi, Bangkhuntein, Bangkok 10150, Thailand

<u>Article history</u>

<u>Abstract</u>

Received: 1 June 2015 Received in revised form: 22 September 2015 Accepted: 14 October 2015

<u>Keywords</u>

Emulsion stability index Foam expansion Gelatin Jellyfish Gelatin is typically derived from denatured collagen via acid, alkaline and enzyme hydrolysis. Type A and Type B gelatin commonly used in food industry are done by acid and alkaline process, respectively. In this study, desalted dried jellyfish *(Lobonema smithii)* was used to produce type A gelatin by hydrochloric acid hydrolysis at pH 1. The temperature of water extraction was 45, 60 or 75°C with extraction times of 6 or 12 h. The highest gel strength (118 g) of type A jellyfish gelatin occurred with an extraction at 45°C for 6 h. At the extraction temperature of 75°C for 6 or 12 h the gelatin gel did not form. The highest percentage (37.72%) of emulsion stability index (ESI) was observed from the gelatin produced at 75°C for 6 h. However, the same conditions did not give the highest value of foam expansion (FE). The reductions of foam stability (FS) were found in all samples after extending the storage time at room temperature for 60 min. Type A jellyfish gelatin can be produced that has gel forming, emulsifying and foaming properties. Type A gelatin produced from jellyfish can be used as an alternative source of gelatin for food application.

© All Rights Reserved

Introduction

Gelatin is obtained by partial denaturation of the fibrous protein collagen by heating at acid or alkaline pH's (Haug and Draget, 2011; Lassoued et al., 2014). Gelatin is commercially processed and used for food, cosmetics, pharmaceuticals, medicine, photographic adhesives, packaging and coating applications (Haug and Draget, 2011; Jellouli et al., 2011). In food applications, gelatin can be functioned as a foaming emulsifier, biodegradable film-forming agent, material, colloid stabilizer and microencapsulating agent (Gómez-Guillén et al., 2011). Gelatins derived from acid-treated and alkali-treated processes are known as type A and type B, respectively. Type B gelatins have lower isoelectric points (pI 4.8-5.2) compared to type A gelatins (pI 8-9) (Eysturskard et al., 2009). Generally, the raw material used for producing gelatins comes from mammalian sources. Commercially 95% of gelatin is derived from pig skin and bovine hide and the other 5% is from porcine and bovine bones (Tabarestani et al., 2010). In the present, the researchers were reported on gelatin from marine sources.

One major advantage of marine gelatin sources used is not associated with the risk of outbreaks

of BSE (Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy). These gelatins are accepted by Islam, Judaism and Hinduism. Both Islam and Judaism do not consume any pork-related product, while Hinduism does not consume cow-related products (Nagarajan et al., 2012). Several studies have been focused on the extraction and characterization of gelatins from marine species. The reports included fish skin (Cheow et al., 2007; Tabarestani et al., 2010; Ahmad and Benjakul, 2011; Sukkwai et al., 2011), fish cartilage (Cho et al., 2004), fish bones (Khiari et al., 2013) and squid skin (Nagarajan et al., 2012). The Thai jellyfish variety, Lodchong (Lobonema smithii), is known in English as the "white jellyfish" (Omori and Nakano, 2001). It is the important species in Asian fishery, especially Thai fishery export. The jellyfish (Lobonema smithii) are edible and have high collagenous protein (Klaiwong, 2009) so it can be a potential source of gelatin

The scope of the present paper was to investigate the extraction process of type A gelatin from white jellyfish *(Lobonema smithii)* by the use of hydrochloric acid. The physicochemical characteristics and functional properties of jellyfish gelatin were determined and compared to those of commercial halal bovine gelatin.

Materials and Methods

Preparation of umbrella of dried desalted jellyfish

Salted jellyfish *(Lobonema smithii)* was obtained from Mahachai Sea Food Co., Ltd., Samutsakhon, Thailand. The samples were stored in sealed polyethylene (PE) bag and kept at 10°C. The salted jellyfish were cleaned with tap water until the salt of the wash water content measured by a salinometer (Atago[®], Japan) reading was zero. The samples were dried in a tray dryer (Dwyer, TDII, Thailand) at 50°C for 24 h, ground and stored in sealed PE bag until used.

Gelatin preparation

The preparation of gelatin consists of two steps which are acid treatment and gelatin extraction. For the first step, ground dried jellyfish were soaked with hydrochloric solution at pH 1 in the ratio of 1:15 (w/v). The mixture was stirred continuously at 4°C for 24 h using a shaker (WiseCube, WIS-20R, Korea) at the speed of 150 rpm. After the soaking treatment, the slurries were adjusted with 1 M potassium hydroxide until it had a pH of 7. For the second step of extraction, the swollen jellyfish proteins were heated at 45, 60 and 75°C for 6 and 12 h in a temperature-controlled water bath (Memmert, Schwabach, Germany). The gelatin solutions were filtered through four layers of cheese cloth, dried at 50°C for 24 h with tray dry (Binder G 01350, USA) and ground with a blender (Moulinex, Thailand). All gelatin samples were weighed and kept in a desiccator with silica gel until used. The yield of dried gelatin was calculated using Equation 1.

Proximate analysis

The protein, moisture, ash and fat contents of the dried jellyfish gelatin powders were determined according to the method of AOAC (2000).

Color measurement

The color of gelatin solutions (6.67% w/v) was measured by a colorimeter (Hunter Lab Inc., Reston, VA, USA) reported by the CIE system. L(0-100), $a^{(+/-)}$ and $b^{(+/-)}$ parameters indicating darkness/ lightness, redness/greenness and yellowness/ blueness, respectively. The colorimeter was calibrated with a black and a white standard. Hue angle (h^{*}) showed the calculated value between 0-360 by using Equation 2. Hue angle

(2)

Amino acid composition of jellyfish gelatin

The dried gelatin samples (10 mg) were hydrolyzed in 6 N HCl at 110°C for 24 h in a block heater (Model SBH 130D, Stuart Scientific, Manchester, UK). After the samples were concentrated by flushing with nitrogen gas, they were adjusted to 5 ml with distilled water and filtered through a 0.45 µm cellulose acetate filter (VertiPure[™] CA Syringe Filter, Vertical Chromatography Co., Ltd. Bangkok, Thailand). Amino acid analysis was performed according to the method of Yan et al. (2007). Briefly, a 10 µl aliquot of each sample was analyzed by reverse phase-high performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC Model 1200, Agilent Technologies, Inc. Santa Clara, CA, USA) on a fused silica capillary (C18;. 250 mm x 4.6 i.d., 5µm film thickness; PrevailTM column Alltech[®], Deerfield, IL, USA). Mobile phase used was 5 mM heptafluorobutyric acid (HFBA) in 0.5% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) (A) and acetonitrile : H₂O (95:5) (B). Gradient conditions of B were 0, 0, 15, 35% at 0, 3, 8 and 17 min, respectively. Flow rate used was 1.0 ml/min. This technique cannot be detected some amino acid (serine, valine, and methionine). Only the sample of jellyfish gelatin with maximum gel strength was analyzed for amino acid composition.

Determination of gel strength

The strength of gelatin gel was determined according by the method of Fernandez-Diaz et al. (2001) with a slight modification. Jellyfish gelatin gels were prepared by dissolving 6.67% (w/v) dried gelatin powder in distilled water at room temperature for 30 min. The solutions were heated at 60°C in a water bath for 30-60 min until gelatin powder was completely solubilized and then cooled in a refrigerator at 10°C for 16-18 h prior to analysis. The gel strength was determined by a Texture Analyzer (TA.XT2i, Stable Micro System, UK) with a load cell of 5 kN equipped with a 1.27 cm diameter flat faced cylindrical Teflon® plunger. The maximum hardness (in gram) was recorded when the penetration distance reached 4 mm. The speed of the plunger was 0.5 mm per second. The dimension of the measured sample was 3 cm in diameter and 2.5 cm in height.

Determination of emulsifying properties

The emulsion stability index (ESI) of gelatin samples was determined according to the method of Kittiphattanabawon *et al.* (2004) with a slight modification. Soybean oil (3 ml) was mixed with 9 ml of each gelatin solution (1% gelatin). The mixture was homogenized using a homogenizer (Model T25 basic; IKA Labortechnik, Selangor, Malaysia) at 20,000 rpm for 1 min. 10 ml of the mixture was pipetted into a 15 ml centrifuge tube and centrifuged at high speed 22,540 g for 10 min at room temperature. The stability of emulsion was calculated using Equations 3.

%ESI =
$$((W_1 - W_2)/W_1) \ge 100$$
 (3)

where W_1 and W_2 are the weight of sample mixture and the weight of water separated, respectively.

Determination of foaming properties

The method of Shahidi *et al.* (1995) was used to determine foam expansion (FE). Gelatin solution were prepared at 1% concentration and homogenized at high speed of 17,000 rpm for 1 min. The mixture was carefully transferred into a 25 ml cylinder for volume measurement. The reading was done rapidly within 10 sec after the foam was set in the cylinder. The percentage of FE was calculated using Equations 4.

%FE=
$$(V_{T}/V_{0}) \times 100$$
 (4)

where V_T and V_0 are total volume after whipping and the original volume (ml) before whipping, respectively.

Statistical analysis

The data analyses were performed using a SPSS 19.0 software program (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Ill., U.S.A.). ANOVA was used to find differences between treatment and using Duncan's test with a confidence level of 95%. The values were reported as mean \pm SD for all measurements.

Results and Discussion

Proximate composition

The dried desalted jellyfish contained protein as the major component ($69.85\pm0.79\%$), moisture ($9.88\pm0.07\%$), fat ($8.76\pm0.37\%$) and ash content (<1%), respectively. The important element of gelatin is protein and the jellyfish can use as a raw material in the production of gelatin.

Jellyfish gelatin yield

The yields of jellyfish gelatins in difference extraction are given in Fig 1. It was found the jellyfish gelatins extracted at 45, 60 and 75°C for



Figure 1. Gelatin yield as a function of extraction temperature (°C) and extraction time. Bars represent standard deviation (n = 3). Different letters on the bars denote significant differences ($P \le 0.05$)

6 h were 20.85, 23.71 and 29.97%, respectively but those extracted at the same temperature for 12 h were 19.43, 26.46 and 40.54%, respectively. The increased extraction time and temperature could possibly increase opening of collagen cross-links, thereby swelling its collagen structure and make it easier to cause denaturation. The results suggested that when a higher temperature was applied, the bonding between α -chains in the native collagen were more newly established. As a consequence, the triple helix structure became amorphous and could be more readily extracted into the medium leading to a higher yield. It was noted that an extraction time longer than 6 h did increase the yield. Increased the extraction time also provided more energy to destroy the bonding, in which more free α or β -chains were released from the materials (Sinthusamran et al., 2014). The cross-linked proteins stabilized by covalent bonds in the collagen network might be destroyed at the higher extraction temperature and time. The results suggested that the degree of conversion of collagen into gelatin depended on the processing parameters such as temperature, extraction time, pH, pretreatment conditions and properties of the starting raw material (Karim and Bhat, 2009).

Colors

Color of gelatin is an important aesthetic property depending on the application for which the gelatin is being used. The color of jellyfish gelatin and commercial bovine gelatin, was expressed in terms of L^{*}, a^{*}, b^{*} and h^{*} (hue angle). Jellyfish gelatin extracted at 45°C for 12 h and 60°C for 6 h showed the greater lightness (L^{*}) and yellowness (b^{*}) value compared to the color of bovine gelatin. However, the results of all jellyfish gelatins had the hue angle less than 90° indicating orange to yellow color which is darker than the hue value of bovine

| Amino acids | Jellyfish | Bovine gelatin | Sin croaker | New Zealand |
|-------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| | gelatin | | (Cheow et al., | hoki (Mohtar <i>et</i> |
| | (45°C 12 h) | | 2007) | al., 2014) |
| Ser | 0.00±0.00 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 2.2 ± 0.17 | 3.61 ± 0.11 |
| Asp | 4.44±0.21 | 4.22±0.03 | 1.81 ± 0.08 | 3.64 ± 0.09 |
| Ala | 0.69 ± 0.00 | 0.82±0.01 | 20.6 ± 0.40 | 10.9 ± 0.30 |
| Thr | 0.64±.0.00 | 0.81 ± 0.01 | 3.94 ± 0.24 | 2.08 ± 0.19 |
| Glu | 8.03±0.02 | 8.90±0.15 | 3.3 ± 0.15 | 7.69 ± 0.27 |
| Cys | 2.88 ± 0.05 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.41 ± 0.11 | 0.00 ± 0.00 |
| Lys | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 4.09±0.08 | 3.78 ± 0.11 | 2.77 ± 0.13 |
| His | 0.47 ± 0.04 | 0.66 ± 0.02 | 1.25 ± 0.04 | 0.86 ± 0.07 |
| Arg | 2.13±0.09 | 1.95 ± 0.06 | 4.27 ± 0.13 | 5.29 ± 0.09 |
| Val | 0.00±0.00 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 3.14 ± 0.72 | 2.52 ± 0.24 |
| Met | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 2.2 ± 0.18 | 1.07 ± 0.15 |
| Tyr | 0.48 ± 0.01 | 0.34±0.02 | 2.52 ± 0.22 | 0.08 ± 0.03 |
| Пe | 1.23 ± 0.07 | 1.12 ± 0.04 | 1.19 ± 0.05 | 1.58 ± 0.05 |
| Leu | 1.82 ± 0.19 | 2.11±0.03 | 2.59 ± 0.28 | 2.17 ± 0.17 |
| Phe | 0.56±0.03 | 1.25 ± 0.00 | 2.2 ± 0.19 | 1.58 ± 0.10 |
| Trp | 0.20 ± 0.01 | 0.23 ± 0.02 | 2.48 ± 0.35 | 0.00 ± 0.00 |
| Gly | 12.35 ± 0.30 | 70.98±1.32 | 32.2 ± 0.6 | 32.8 ± 062 |
| Hyp | 5.62 ± 0.11 | 8.62±0.37 | 8.09 ± 0.46 | 9.85 ± 0.33 |
| Pro | 4 19+0 07 | 11 37+0.06 | 1.88 ± 0.16 | 9.90 ± 0.11 |

Table 1. Amino acid content of jellyfish gelatin and other gelatins (amino acid g/100g sample)

gelatin. It can be concluded that factors such as raw material and extraction condition influence the color characteristics of extracted gelatin when compare with the commercial bovine gelatin. In general, color does not influence the functional properties; however light color is preferred because of less impact on the color attributes of the product (Shyni *et al.*, 2014).

Amino acid composition

The highest gel strength occurred with jellyfish gelatin extracted at 45°C for 12 h. The amino profile of jellyfish gelatin and the commercial bovine gelatin are shown in Table 1. The jellyfish gelatin had much higher glycine than other amino acids (12.53 residues/100 residues). However, the amino acid composition of jellyfish gelatin displayed low contents of hydroxyproline, proline and glycine, which are common characteristics, when compared to bovine gelatin. Glycine is the most dominant amino acid in gelatins (Arnesen and Gildberg, 2002). No serine, valine and methionine were found in jellyfish gelatin and bovine gelatin compared to sin croaker and New Zealand hoki gelatin. Bovine gelatin was reported to contain no cysteine that is characteristics of all bovine gelatins (Balti et al., 2011; Lassoued et al., 2014). It was in agreement with previously published data that the mammalian gelatins contain higher amounts of imino acid (proline and hydroxyproline) content than marine gelatin (Cheow et al., 2007; Mohtar et al., 2014). The results suggested that the starting raw material of gelatin differ in amino acid composition. This could result in different functionality and stability such as gel formation or foaming.

Gel strength

Gel strength is an important function of gelatin and is determined by amino acid composition and the ratio of α -chain and the amount of β -component (Balti et al., 2011). The gel strength of jellyfish gelatin extracted with different temperature and time is shown in Table 2. The values of gel strength decreased as the extraction temperature and time increased (p<0.05), except for jellyfish gelatin extracted at 45°C for 12 h. All values of gel strength of jellyfish gelatins were lower than that of bovine gelatin. No gel formations occurred with jellyfish gelatins prepared with an extraction temperature of 75°C for 6 or 12 h. Muyonga et al. (2004) and Cho et al. (2005) reported that higher temperatures of gelatin extraction exhibited a lower gel strength. A weak gelatin gel was associated with the formation of small fragments (Gómez-Guillén et al., 2002). Kittiphattanabawon (2010) reported no gel formation of gelatin when the extraction temperature was above 60°C. Different gel strengths reported for gelatin from different marine species are also shown in Table 2. Among these marine gelatins, the gel strength of jellyfish gelatin is similar to salmon and rohu. The result was in agreement with Gómez-Guillén et al. (2002) that gelatin extracted at higher temperatures showed a lower gel strength. Factors associated with gelatin quality are pH, molecular weight distribution, and proline and hydroxyproline content of raw material as well as extraction conditions that could result in less organized triple helical structure (Shyni et al., 2014; Lassoued et al., 2014). Typically, the gel matrix is formed during gelation by the crosslinking of peptide chains. Denaturation is the change of a native protein conformation to another, more unfolded conformation, in which functional groups (such as sulfhydryl groups or hydrophobic groups) become exposed. Subsequently, these exposed groups can interact with each other to form aggregates (Wang and Damodaran, 1991). When the protein concentration is high enough, aggregation leads to formation of a gel. However, the shorter

| Table 2 | . Gel strength | of extracted | jellyfish gela | itin gels, b | oovine gel | latin gels a | nd different | marine |
|---------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|------------|--------------|--------------|--------|
| | gelatin gels. | Different lett | ers on the bar | rs denote s | significan | t difference | es (P≤0.05) | |

| Extraction condition and the starting raw material of gelatin | Gel strength (g) | Reference | |
|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| 45°C 6h | 47±1.47° | | |
| 45°C12h | 118±8.07 ^b | | |
| 60°C 6h | 56±1.92° | | |
| 60°C 12h | 18 ± 0.67^{d} | | |
| commercial | 354±30.55 ^a | | |
| cod | 71 | Arnesen and Gildberg, 2007 | |
| bigeye snapper | 106 | Jongjareonrak et al., 2006 | |
| Atlantic salmon | 108 | Arnesen and Gildberg, 2007 | |
| rohu | 124 | Shyni <i>et al.</i> , 2014 | |
| splendid squid | 132 | Nagarajan et al., 2012 | |
| sturgeon | 141 | Nikoo <i>et al.</i> , 2014 | |
| skipjack tuna | 177 | Shyni <i>et al.</i> , 2014 | |
| shortfin scad | 177 | Cheow et al., 2007 | |
| cuttlefish | 181 | Balti et al., 2011 | |
| dog shark | 206 | Shyni <i>et al.</i> , 2014 | |
| red snapper | 219 | Jongjareonrak et al., 2006 | |
| New Zealand hoki | 231 | Mohtar <i>et al.</i> , 2014 | |
| seabass | 369 | Sinthusamran et al., 2014 | |

chain lengths of gelatins cannot form a strong gel due to the lower inter-junction zones (Intarasirisawat *et al.*, 2007). For commercial product, the strength of gelatin gels are separated as high-Bloom (200-300 g), medium-Bloom (100-200 g) and low Bloom (50-100 g) (Haug and Draget, 2011).

Emulsifying properties

Gelatin can be used as a foaming, stabilizing and emulsifying agent in food, pharmaceutical and technical applications due to its surface-active properties (Karim and Bhat, 2009). The oil in water (O/W) emulsion in this study was formed from a mixture of soybean oil, water with jellyfish gelatin used as an emulsifier. The function of jellyfish gelatin as a stabilizer and emulsifier was measured as the emulsion stability index (ESI). Results showed the jellyfish gelatin extracted at 75°C for 6 h had a significantly higher ESI than any jellyfish gelatins and bovine gelatin (p<0.05) (Figure 2). The hydrophobic portion of jellyfish peptide may interact with the hydrophobic part of soybean oil, thereby stabilizing the emulsion. The shorter chains of the peptide might migrate to the oil-water interface faster, which would stabilize smaller particle size emulsions (Kaewrung et al., 2013). A number of factors that influence the stability of emulsions such as interfacial tension between the two phases, characteristics of the absorbed film in the interface, magnitude of the



Figure 2. Emulsion stability index as a function of extraction temperature (°C) and extraction time (h). Bars represent standard deviation (n = 3). Different letters on the bars denote significant differences ($P \le 0.05$)

electrical charge on the globules, size and surface per volume ratio of the globules, weight per volume ratio of dispersed and dispersion phases and viscosity of the dispersion phase (Morr, 1981).

Foaming properties

Gelatin can form and stabilize foams and is widely used in confectionary product such as marshmallows (Lassoued *et al.*, 2014). Foam formation is generally controlled by transportation, penetration and reorganization of protein molecules at the air-water interface (Koli *et al.*, 2012). In this study, the whipping process incorporates air into the gelatin solution to form bubbles. The integrity



Figure 3. Foam expansion as a function of extraction temperature (°C) and extraction time (h). Bars represent standard deviation (n = 3). Different letters on the bars denote significant differences ($P \le 0.05$)

of foam is measured by foam expansion (FE). The %FE of all gelatin samples generally increased with increasing time for extraction (Figure 3). All jellvfish gelatins had slightly lower % FE compared to bovine gelatin. This might be due to the migration of shorter peptides to the air-water interface (Kaewrung et al., 2013). However, the size of gelatin foam was not measured in this study. The hydrophobic regions facilitate the adsorption at the interface, a process that is followed by partial unfolding surface denaturation (Lomakina and Miková, 2006). Intermolecular protein-protein interaction enhances the cohesive nature of the film, thereby imparting stability and elasticity to the membrane surrounded the foam. This interaction appears to be dependent on the presence of a high ratio of nonpolar/polar side chains in the protein (Johnson and Zabik, 1981). The results suggested that jellyfish gelatin could be functioned as a foaming agent. Similar findings were reported by Lueyot and Thumthanaruk (2014) that the white jellyfish (Lobonema smithii) protein hydrolysate (WJPH) with highest degree of hydrolysis (60.62%) exhibits a good foaming and emulsifying properties.

Conclusions

Jellyfish could be a promising source for producing gelatin. All jellyfish gelatins from different extraction temperatures and times showed the lower values of gel strength than that of commercial bovine gelatin. To obtain gelatin with a high gel strength and high yield, the recommended extraction conditions were 45°C for 12 h. Beside gel properties, foams were formed using gelatin produced at all extraction conditions used in this study. The ability to form weak gels or emulsification and foaming may find new applications for jellyfish gelatin type A used in food industry.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their gratitude to the Department of Agro-Industrial, Food and Environmental Technology and Collage of graduate, King Mongkut's University of Technology North Bangkok and the National Research Council of Thailand for financial support given for this research work (KMUTNB-GOV-57-13).

References

- Ahmad, M. and Benjakul, S. 2011. Characteristics of gelatin from the skin of unicorn leatherjacket *(Aluterus monoceros)* as influenced by acid pretreatment and extraction time. Food Hydrocolloids 25: 381-388.
- AOAC. 2000. Official methods of analysis (17th ed.). Washington, DC: Association of Official Analytical Chemists.
- Arnesen, J. A. and Gildberg, A. 2002. Preparation and characterisation of gelatin from the skin of harp seal (*Phoca groendlandica*). Bioresource Technology 92: 191-194.
- Balti, R., Jridi, M., Sila, A., Souissi, N., Nedjar, A. N., Guillochon, D. and Nasri, M. 2011. Extraction and functional properties of gelatin from the skin of cuttlefish (*Sepia officinalis*) using smooth hound crude acid protease-aided process. Food Hydrocolloids 25: 943-950.
- Cheow, C. S., Norizah, M. S., Kyaw, Z. Y. and Howell, N. K. 2007. Preparation and characterisation of gelatins from the skins of sin croaker (*Johniusdus sumieri*) and shortfinscad (*Decapterus macrosoma*). Food Chemistry 101: 386-391.
- Cho, S. M., Kwak, K. S., Park, D. C., Gu, Y. S., Ji, C. I., Jang, D. H., Lee, Y. B. and Kim, S. B. 2004. Processing optimization and functional properties of gelatin from shark (*Isurus oxyrinchus*) cartilage. Food Chemistry 101: 386–391.
- Cho, S. M., Gu, Y. S. and Kim, S. B. 2005. Extracting optimization and physical properties of yellowfin tuna (*Thunnus albacares*) skin gelatin compared to mammalian gelatins. Food Hydrocolloids 19 (2): 221–229.
- Eysturskard, J., Haug, I. J., Ulset, A. S. and Draget, K. 2009. Mechanical properties of mammalian and fish gelatins based on their weight average molecular weight and molecular weight distribution. Food Hydrocolloids 23: 2315–2321.
- Fernandez-Diaz, M. D., Montero, P. and Gomez-Guillen, M. C. 2001. Gel properties of collagens from skins of cod (*Gadus morhua*) and hake (*Merluccius merluccius*) and their modification by the coenhancers magnesium sulphate, glycerol and transglutaminase. Food Chemistry 74: 161-167.
- Gómez-Guillén, M. C., Giménez, B., López-Caballero, M. E. and Montero, M. P. 2011. Functional and bioactive properties of collagen and gelatin from alternative sources: a review. Food Hydrocolloids 25: 1813-1827.

- Gómez-Guillén, M. C., Turnay, J., Fernández-Diaz, M. D., Ulmo, N., Lizarbe, M. A. and Montero, P. 2002. Structural and physical properties of gelatin extracted from different marine species: a comparative study. Food Hydrocolloids 16 (1): 25-34.
- Haug, I. J. and Draget, K. I. 2011. Gelatin. In Phillips, G. O. and Williams, P. A. (Eds). Handbook of Food Proteins, p 98-115. Norway: Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU).
- Intarasirisawat, R., Benjakul, S., Visessanguan, W., Prodpran, T., Tanaka, M. and Howell, N. K. 2007. Autolysis study of bigeye snapper (*Priacanthus* macracanthus) skin and its effect on gelatin. Food Hydrocolloids 21: 537-544.
- Jellouli, K., Balti, R., Bougatef, A., Hmidet, N., Barkia, A. and Nasri, M. 2011. Chemical composition and characteristics of skin gelatin from grey triggerfish (*Balistes capriscus*). LWT-Food Science and Technology 44(9): 1965-1970.
- Johnson, T. M. and Zabik, M. E. 1981. Ultra structural examination of egg albumen protein foams. Journal of Food Science 46: 1237–1240.
- Jongjareonrak, A., Benjakul, S., Visessanguan, W. and Tanaka, M. 2006. Skin gelatin from bigeye snapper and brownstripe red snapper: chemical compositions and effect of microbial transglutaminase on gel properties. Food Hydrocolloids 20: 1216-1222.
- Kaewruang, P., Benjakula, S., Prodpranb, T. and Nalinanonc, S. 2013. Physicochemical and functional properties of gelatin from the skin of unicorn leatherjacket (*Aluterus monoceros*) as affected by extraction conditions. Food Bioscience 2: 1-9.
- Karim, A. A. and Bhat, R. 2009. Fish gelatin: properties, challenges, and prospects as an alternative to mammalian gelatins. Food Hydrocolloids 23: 563– 567.
- Khiari, Z., Rico, D., Martin-Diana, A. B. and Barry-Ryan, C. 2013. Comparison between gelatines extracted from mackerel and blue whiting bones after different pre-treatments. Food Chemistry 139: 347–354.
- Kittiphattanabawon, P. 2004. Extraction and characterization of collagen and gelatin from bigeye snapper *(Priacanthus tayenus)* skin and bone. Songkhla, Thailand: Prince of Songkhla University, MSc thesis.
- Kittiphattanabawan, P., Benjakul, S., Visessanguan, W. and Shahidi, F. 2010. Comparative study on characteristics of gelatin from the skins of brownbanded bamboo shark and blacktip shark as affected by extraction conditions. Food Hydrocolloids. 24: 164–171.
- Klaiwong T. 2009. Extraction and comparison of collagen properties from sand jellyfish (*Rhopilema hispidum*) and white jellyfish (*Lobonema smithii*). Bangkok, Thailand: King Mongkut's University of Technology North Bangkok, MSc thesis.
- Koli, J. M., Basu, S., Nayak, B. B., Patange, S. B., Pagarkar, A. U. and Gudipati, V. 2012. Functional characteristics of gelatin extracted from skin and bone of Tiger-toothed croaker (Otolithes ruber) and Pinkperch (Nemipterus japonicus). Food and

Bioproducts Processing 90: 555–562.

- Lassoued, I., Jridi, M., Nasri, R., Dammak, A., Hajji, M., Nasri, M. and Barkia, A. 2014. Characteristics and functional properties of gelatin from thornback ray skin obtained by pepsin-aided process in comparison with commercial halal bovine gelatin. Food Hydrocolloids 41: 309-318.
- Lomakina, K. and Miková, K. 2006. A Study of the factors affecting the foaming properties of egg white a review. Czech Journal of Food Science 24: 110–118.
- Lueyot, A. and Thumthanaruk, B. 2014. Optimization of functional properties of jellyfish (Lobonema smithii) protein hydrolysate as influenced by the degree of hydrolysis. Proceedings of the American Chemical Society International Chemical Sciences Chapter in Thailand (ACS-ICSCT) and ACS Agricultural and Food Chemistry Division (ACS-AGFD) Conference, p. 103-108. Bangkok: Siam University.
- Mohtar, N. F., Perera, C. O. and Hemar, Y. 2014. Chemical modification of New Zealand hoki (*Macruronus* novaezelandiae) skin gelatin and its properties. Food Chemistry 155: 64–73.
- Morr, C. V. 1981. Emulsifiers: Milk Proteins. In: Cherry, J. P. Protein Functionality in Foods, Clemson, American Chemical Society 147: 201-213.
- Muyonga, J.H., Cole, C.G.B. and Duodu, K.G. 2004. Extraction and physico-chemical characterization of Nile perch (*Lates niloticus*) skin and bone gelatin. Food Hydrocolloids. 18: 581–592.
- Nagarajan, M., Benjakul, S., Prodpran, T., Songtipya, P. and Kishimura, H. 2012. Characteristics and functional properties of gelatin from splendid squid (*Loligo formosana*) skin as affected by extraction temperatures. Food Hydrocolloids 29: 389-397.
- Nikoo, M., Benjakul, S., Bashari, M., Alekhorshied, M., Cissouma, A.I., Yang, N. and Xu, X. 2014. Physicochemical properties of skin gelatin from farmed Amur sturgeon (*Acipenser schrenckii*) as influenced by acid pretreatment. Food Bioscience 5: 19-26.
- Omori, M. and Nakano, E. 2001. Jellyfish fisheries in southest Asia. Hydrobiologia 451:19-26.
- Shahidi, F., Xiao-Qing, H. and Synowiecki, J. 1995. Production and characteristics of protein hydrolysates from capelin (*Mallotus villosus*). Food Chemistry 53: 285-293.
- Shyni, K., Hema, G. S., Ninan, G., Mathew, S., Joshy, C. G. and Lakshmanan, P. T. 2014. Isolation and characterization of gelatin from the skins of skipjack tuna (*Katsuwonus pelamis*), dog shark (*Scoliodon sorrakowah*) and rohu (*Labeo rohita*). Food Hydrocolloids 39: 68-76.
- Sinthusamran, S., Benjakul, S. and Kishimura, H. 2014. Characteristics and gel properties of gelatin from skin of seabass *(Lates calcarifer)* as influenced by extraction conditions. Food Chemistry 152: 276–284.
- Sukkwai, S., Kijroongrojana, K. and Benjakul, S. 2011. Extraction of gelatin from bigeye snapper *(Priacanthus tayenus)* skin for gelatin hydrolysate production. Journal of International Food Research

18(3): 1129-1134.

- Tabarestani, S. H., Maghsoudlou, Y., Motamedzadegan, A. and Mahoonak A. R. S. 2010. Optimization of physico-chemical properties of gelatin extracted from fish skin of rainbow trout (Onchorhynchus mykiss). Bioresource Technology 101: 6207–6214.
- Wang, D. H. and Damodaran, S. 1991. Thermal gelation of globular proteins: influence of protein conformation on gel strength. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 39: 433-438.
- Yan, D., Li, G., Xiao, X-H., Dong, X-P. and Li, Z-L. 2007. Direct determination of fourteen underivatized amino acids from *Whitmannia pigra* by using liquid chromatography-evaporative light scattering detection. Journal of Chromatography A 1138: 301-304.